

# Characterizations of a metrizable space $X$ such that every $A_n(X)$ is a $k$ -space

Kohzo Yamada

*Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku University, Tennoji, Osaka 543, Japan*

Received 11 July 1991

Revised 29 October 1991

## Abstract

Yamada, K., Characterizations of a metrizable space  $X$  such that every  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, *Topology and its Applications* 49 (1993) 75–94.

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space, then we obtain the following results.

- (1) The following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - (b)  $A_4(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
  - (c) the canonical mapping  $i_n : (X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^n \rightarrow A_n(X)$  is quotient for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - (d)  $i_4$  is quotient,
  - (e) either  $X$  is locally compact and the set  $X'$  of all nonisolated points in  $X$  is separable, or  $X'$  is compact.
- (2) The following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
  - (b)  $i_3$  is quotient,
  - (c)  $X$  is locally compact or  $X'$  is compact.
- (3)  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space and  $i_2$  is quotient.

These results contain the answers to the questions of T.H. Fay, E.T. Ordman and B.V.S. Thomas for free Abelian topological groups.

**Keywords:** Free Abelian topological groups,  $k$ -spaces, quotient mappings, metrizable spaces.

**AMS(MOS) subj. Class.:** 22A05, 54D50, 54H10.

## Introduction

Let  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  be the free topological group and the free Abelian topological group over a Tychonoff space  $X$ , respectively [6, 11]. The topological structures of  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  are complicated. Indeed, only when a space  $X$  is discrete,  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  can be first countable or locally compact.

*Correspondence to:* Dr. K. Yamada, Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku University, Tennoji, Osaka 543, Japan.

One of the techniques of studying the topological structure of  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) is the canonical representation of the space  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) as the union of its closed subspaces  $F_n(X)$  ( $A_n(X)$ ) formed by reduced words whose lengths are less than or equal to  $n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (=the set of natural numbers). And, the technique is often used. For example, Graev [6] showed that if a space  $X$  is compact,  $E$  is a closed subset of  $F(X)$  if and only if  $E \cap F_n(X)$  is a closed subset of  $F_n(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the result, he showed an important result that  $F(X)$  is Weil complete, i.e., it is complete relative to right and left uniform structures of this group. Graev's results were generalized in [12] and [10]: if a topological group  $G$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space, then the group  $G$  is complete, and if  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space, then  $F(X)$  is also a  $k_\omega$ -space. Hence, if  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space, then  $F(X)$  is Weil complete. In fact, Mack, Morris and Ordman [10] showed that if  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space, then  $F_n(X)$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and also  $E$  is a closed subset of  $F(X)$  if and only if  $E \cap F_n(X)$  is a closed subset of  $F_n(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The class of  $k_\omega$ -spaces includes not only compact spaces but also locally compact Lindelöf spaces, and is narrower than the class of  $\sigma$ -compact spaces.

$F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) need not be a  $k$ -space even if  $X$  is a  $k$ -space. That is, Fay, Ordman and Thomas [5] showed that the free topological group of the space of rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $k$ -space, in fact, they showed  $F_3(\mathbb{Q})$  is not a  $k$ -space. On the other hand, Arhangel'skii, Okunev and Pestov [3] showed the following characterizations that  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) is a  $k$ -space.

**Theorem A.** *If  $X$  is metrizable and  $X'$  is the set of all nonisolated points in  $X$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
- (b)  $A(X)$  is homeomorphic to a product of a  $k_\omega$ -space with a discrete space,
- (c)  $X$  is locally compact and  $X'$  is separable.

**Theorem B.** *If  $X$  is metrizable, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $F(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
- (b)  $F(X)$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space or discrete,
- (c)  $X$  is locally compact separable or discrete.

In the proof of these theorems, they used some concrete spaces such that the free (Abelian) topological groups over them are not  $k$ -spaces. For example, the Fréchet-Urysohn fan  $V(\aleph_1)$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ , the hedgehog space  $J(\aleph_0)$  of spininess  $\aleph_0$  such that each spininess is a sequence which converges to the center point, and  $Y = C \oplus \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ , where  $C$  is a convergent sequence with its limit and  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a discrete collection. It can be proved that neither  $A_3(V(\aleph_1))$ ,  $F_2(V(\aleph_1))$ ,  $A(J(\aleph_0))$ ,  $F(J(\aleph_0))$ , nor  $F_4(Y)$  is a  $k$ -space (cf. [3, 14]). Since, by most of their ways, it was shown that  $F_n(X)$  ( $A_n(X)$ ), as a closed subspace of  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ), is not a  $k$ -space for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we naturally raise the following question: if  $F_n(X)$  ( $A_n(X)$ ) is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then is  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) a  $k$ -space?

However, we obtained the negative answer for  $A(X)$  [18]. Namely,

**Theorem C.**  $A_n(J(\kappa))$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each cardinality  $\kappa$ , but  $A(J(\kappa))$  is not a  $k$ -space if  $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ .

Furthermore, we had a necessary condition of a metrizable space  $X$  that each  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space [18].

**Theorem D.** For a metrizable space  $X$ , if  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the set  $X'$  of all nonisolated points in  $X$  is locally compact in  $X'$ .

Although the subspaces  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , play an important role to investigate the topology of  $A(X)$ , by the above result, there is a gap between the  $k$ -property of  $A(X)$  and the one of  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper, we shall give characterizations of a metrizable space  $X$  such that every  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space. As a consequence, we have a stability theorem of the property of  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,

**Theorem E.** For a metrizable space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $A_4(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
- (b) every  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a  $k$ -space.

Since the definition of free topological groups says nothing about the constructive form of open sets, i.e., an open neighborhood base of the unit element  $e$ , it is difficult to investigate the topological properties on  $F(X)$  ( $A(X)$ ) and  $F_n(X)$  ( $A_n(X)$ ). Tkačenko [16] gave an open neighborhood base  $\Sigma^*$  of  $e$  in  $F(X)$ . But the form of  $\Sigma^*$  is complicated. In Section 2, we introduce an alternative construction of an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}$  of the unit element  $0$  in  $A(X)$ . Furthermore, we give an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}_n$  of  $0$  in  $A_{2n}(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , using  $\mathcal{W}$ . In Section 4, applying  $\mathcal{W}_n$ , we shall give characterizations that every  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space. As a result, we have not only a stability theorem but also facts of  $A_2(X)$  and  $A_3(X)$  as follows.

**Theorem F.** (1)  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for every metrizable space  $X$ , and there exists a metrizable space  $X$  such that  $A_3(X)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

(2) There exists a metrizable space  $X$  such that  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space but  $A_4(X)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

In the last section, Section 5, we shall answer to the questions of Fay, Ordman and Thomas [5] for  $A(X)$ .

## 1. Notations and preliminaries

All topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff. By  $\mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of all natural numbers. Our terminology and notations follow [4], and we refer [7] for elementary properties of topological groups.

The *free topological group*  $F(X)$  over a space  $X$  in the sense of Markov [11] is the free algebraic group over the set  $X$  equipped with the group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  having the following properties:

- (1)  $X$  is a subspace of  $F(X)$ ,
- (2) each continuous mapping from  $X$  to an arbitrary topological group  $G$  extends to a continuous homomorphism from  $F(X)$  to  $G$ .

The *free Abelian topological group*  $A(X)$  over a space  $X$  in the sense of Markov is the free algebraic Abelian group over the set  $X$  equipped with the group topology  $\mathcal{T}$  having the properties (1) and (2) for an arbitrary Abelian topological group  $G$ . In this paper, we discuss only free Abelian topological groups  $A(X)$ , while some results obtained in this paper hold also for free topological groups  $F(X)$ .

We denote the *unit element* of  $A(X)$  by 0. Any  $g \in A(X)$  except 0 has the unique reduced representation of the form  $g = \varepsilon_1 x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n x_n$ , where  $x_i \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We put

$$l_+(g) = |\{i \leq n : \varepsilon_i = 1\}|, \quad l_-(g) = |\{i \leq n : \varepsilon_i = -1\}|,$$

and

$$l(g) = l_+(g) + l_-(g).$$

We call the number  $l(g)$  the *length* of  $g$  (by definition,  $l(0) = 0$ ). Let  $A_0 = \{g \in A(X) : l_+(g) = l_-(g)\}$ , then  $A_0$  is a clopen subgroup of  $A(X)$ , and is a clopen neighborhood of 0 in  $A(X)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n(X) = \{g \in A(X) : l(g) \leq n\}$  (by definition  $A_0(X) = \{0\}$ ) and define the mapping  $i_n : (X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^n \rightarrow A_n(X)$  by  $i_n((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$  for each  $x_i \in X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\}$ .

The following fundamental properties related to  $A(X)$  are used often in this paper (see [1, 15]).

**Lemma 1.1.** (1) Let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be a group topology for  $A(X)$  which induces the original topology for  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_1 \leq \mathcal{T}$ .

(2)  $X$  and  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are closed subspaces of  $A(X)$ .

(3) The mapping  $i_n$  is continuous for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $A_n(X)$  is compact for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $X$  is compact.

(4) Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of a metrizable space  $X$ , then  $A(Y)$  is embedded into  $A(X)$  as a closed topological subgroup. In fact, the continuous homomorphic extension of the inclusion mapping from  $Y$  to  $X$  over  $A(Y)$  is a closed embedding. Thus,  $A_n(Y)$  is also embedded into  $A_n(X)$  as a closed subspace for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each subset  $E$  in  $A(X)$ , we set

$$\text{car } E = \min\{B \subset X : E \subset A(B, X)\},$$

where  $A(B, X)$  is the subgroup of  $A(X)$  generated by  $B$ , and it is called the *carrier* of  $E$  in  $X$  [3]. Recall that a subset  $E$  of a space  $X$  is *bounded* (in  $X$ ) iff every real-valued continuous function on  $X$  is bounded on  $E$ . The following theorem was proved in [3].

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $E$  is a bounded set in  $A(X)$ , then  $\text{car } E$  is bounded in  $X$ .*

A space  $X$  is a  $k$ -space if for each  $A \subset X$ , the set  $A$  is closed in  $X$  provided that the intersection of  $A$  with any compact subspace  $K$  of the space  $X$  is closed in  $K$ . Every first-countable space, and every quotient image of a  $k$ -space is a  $k$ -space. A space  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space if there is a countable cover  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $X$  consisting of compact subsets of  $X$  such that the set  $A$  is closed in  $X$  provided that the intersection of  $A$  with any element  $K$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed in  $K$ . It is known that a metrizable space  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space iff  $X$  is locally compact and separable [17, Proposition 8.5]. Some characterizations of that  $A(X)$  is a  $k_\omega$ - or  $k$ -space were obtained by [3, 10].

## 2. Fundamental results

In this section, we will construct a neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}$  of 0 in  $A(X)$ . The idea of our construction is due to the one of the neighborhood base  $\Sigma^*$  of the unit element in  $F(X)$  by Tkačenko [16]. He used the universal uniformity on  $(X \oplus X^{-1} \oplus \{e\})^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  to construct the neighborhood base  $\Sigma^*$ . On the other hand, we used only the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_X$  on  $X$  for  $A(X)$ . The neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}$  of 0 in  $A(X)$  can be obtained from the neighborhood base of  $e$  in  $F(X)$  by Pestov [13]. Nevertheless, since he gave it without the proof and the construction of  $\mathcal{W}$  is important in this paper, we give its construction and proof here. Furthermore, we will construct a neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}_n$  of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The existence of the neighborhood base  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is one of the important facts in this paper.

Constructing the neighborhood bases, we introduce some notations. Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space. The *inverse relation* of  $U \subset \mathcal{U}$  will be denoted by  $U^{-1}$ , and the *composition* of  $U$  and  $V$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  will be denoted by  $U \circ V$ ; thus we have

$$U^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in U\}$$

and

$$U \circ V = \{(x, z) \in X \times X : \text{there is a } y \in X \text{ such that}$$

$$(x, y) \in U \text{ and } (y, z) \in V\}.$$

The *diagonal* of  $X \times X$  is the set  $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ . A set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is called *symmetric* if  $U = U^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $p, k_1, \dots, k_p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^p 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-k}$ .*

(1) *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space and  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  a countable subcollection of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_{n+1} \circ U_{n+1} \circ U_{n+1} \subset U_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then  $U_{k_1} \circ U_{k_2} \circ \dots \circ U_{k_p} \subset U_k$ .*

(2) *Let  $G$  be a group with the unit element  $e$  and  $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  a countable collection of subsets of  $G$  such that  $e \in V_n$  and  $V_{n+1} \cdot V_{n+1} \cdot V_{n+1} \subset V_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then  $V_{k_1} \cdot V_{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot V_{k_p} \subset V_k$  [15].*

**Proof.** Since the proof is straightforward, we only give an outline of the proof of (1). We prove by induction with respect to  $p$ . Assume that for each  $n \leq p$ , condition (1) is obtained. If there is  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}$  such that  $k_j = k+1$ , by the inductive assumption,

$$U_{k_1} \circ U_{k_2} \circ \dots \circ U_{k_{p+1}} \subset U_{k+1} \circ U_{k+1} \circ U_{k+1} \subset U_k.$$

Thus, let  $k_j < k+1$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}$ . In this case, if  $\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-(k+1)}$ , we can show that

$$U_{k_1} \circ U_{k_2} \circ \dots \circ U_{k_p} \subset U_{k+1},$$

therefore

$$U_{k_1} \circ U_{k_2} \circ \dots \circ U_{k_{p+1}} \subset U_{k+1} \circ U_{k+1} \subset U_k.$$

Otherwise, i.e.,  $2^{-(k+1)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-k}$ , then there is  $j \in \{2, \dots, p\}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-(k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} 2^{-k_i} \geq 2^{-(k+1)}.$$

It follows that

$$U_{k_1} \circ U_{k_2} \circ \dots \circ U_{k_{p+1}} \subset U_{k+1} \circ U_{k_{j+1}} \circ U_{k+1} \subset U_{k+1} \circ U_{k+1} \circ U_{k+1} \subset U_k.$$

Consequently, condition (1) is obtained.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{U}_X$  be the universal uniformity on a space  $X$  and put  $\mathcal{P} = \{P \subset \mathcal{U}_X : P \text{ is countable}\}$ . For each  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$ , let

$$W(P) = \{x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \dots + x_k - y_k : (x_i, y_i) \in U_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} = \{W(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Furthermore, fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_n(P) = \{Q \subset P : |Q| = n\},$$

$$W_n(P) = \{x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \dots + x_n - y_n : (x_j, y_j) \in U_{i_j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_n}\} \in \mathcal{Q}_n(P)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{W_n(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** In the above definition, for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , there may be the same elements in  $P$ . In particular, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , the countable collection  $\{U, U, \dots\}$  is also in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The reader should remark that the representation of the element of  $W(P)$  and  $W_n(P)$  need not be a reduced representation.

For the definition of  $W_n(P)$ , let

$$\mathcal{R}_n(P) = \{Q \subset P: |Q| \leq n\}.$$

Since,  $\Delta_X$  is contained in each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , it is easy to see that

$$W_n(P) = \{x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \cdots + x_k - y_k : (x_j, y_j) \in U_{i_j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ \{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_k}\} \in \mathcal{R}_n(P)\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.**  $\mathcal{W}$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A(X)$ .

**Proof.** First, we shall show that  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfies the axioms for open sets in the Abelian topological group  $A(X)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i) for every  $V \in \mathcal{W}$ , there is a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $W + W \subset V$ ;
- (ii) for every  $V \in \mathcal{W}$ , there is a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $-W \subset V$ ;
- (iii) for every  $V \in \mathcal{W}$  and every  $g \in V$ , there is a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $g + W \subset V$ ;
- (iv) for every  $U, V \in \mathcal{W}$ , there is a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $W \subset U \cap V$ ;
- (v)  $\{0\} = \bigcap \mathcal{W}$ .

Let  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $g \in W(P)$ . Assume that  $g = x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \cdots + x_n - y_n$  such that  $(x_i, y_i) \in U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $P_1 = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  and  $P_3 = \{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$  such that

- (1)  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{P}$ ,
- (2)  $A_i \subset U_{2i-1} \cap U_{2i}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (3)  $B_i \subset U_i$  and  $B_i$  is symmetric for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (4)  $C_i \subset U_{i+n}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then it can be shown that  $W(P_1) + W(P_1) \subset W(P)$ ,  $-W(P_2) \subset W(P)$ , and  $g + W(P_3) \subset W(P)$ . These imply that the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) hold. The conditions (iv) and (v) are easily seen, so that we omit the proof.

Thus, let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be a group topology for  $A(X)$  generated by  $\mathcal{W}$ . Take  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $x \in X$ , and put  $W(x) = \{y \in X: (y, x) \in U_1\}$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{U}_X$  is compatible with the original topology for  $X$ ,  $W(x)$  is open in  $X$ . Also, we can show that  $x \in W(x) \subset (W(P) + x) \cap X$ , and this means that  $\mathcal{T}_1|_X$  is coarser than the original topology for  $X$ .

**Claim.**  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is finer than the topology of  $A(X)$ .

**Proof of Claim.** Let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of 0 in  $A(X)$ . Put  $V_0 = V$  and take a sequence  $\{V_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of neighborhoods of 0 in  $A(X)$  such that  $V_n + V_n + V_n \subset V_{n-1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $U_n = \{(x, y) \in X \times X: x - y \in V_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$ . Since  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_X$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Take any point  $g \in W(P)$ , then there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g = x_1 - y_1 + \cdots + x_n - y_n$$

for some  $(x_i, y_i) \in U_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1(2),

$$g \in V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_n \subset V_0 = V.$$

It follows that  $W(P) \subset V$ .

By this claim,  $\mathcal{T}_1|_X$  coincides with the original topology for  $X$ . Thus, by Lemma 1.1(1),  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is coarser than the topology for  $A(X)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  coincides with the topology for  $A(X)$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A(X)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.**  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and fix it. By Theorem 2.3,  $\mathcal{W}|_{A_{2n}(X)} = \{W(P) \cap A_{2n}(X) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$ . For each  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$ , it is clear that  $W_n(P) \subset W(P) \cap A_{2n}(X)$ . Thus, to complete the proof, it suffices to show the following claim.

**Claim.** For each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is a  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $W(P_1) \cap A_{2n}(X) \subset W_n(P)$ .

**Proof of Claim.** Let  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Put  $V_0 = U_1$  and inductively take a collection  $\{V_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{U}_X$  such that

$$V_m \circ V_m \circ V_m \subset V_{m-1} \cap U_{m+1} \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the collection  $P_1 = \{V_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we shall show that  $W(P_1) \cap A_{2n}(X) \subset W_n(P)$ . Take any  $g \in W(P_1) \cap A_{2n}(X)$ , and let

$$g = x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \dots + x_k - y_k, \quad (1)$$

where  $(x_i, y_i) \in V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we put

$$A(g) = \{x_i : x_i \text{ is not reduced in the representation (1) of } g, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\},$$

and

$$B(g) = \{y_i : y_i \text{ is not reduced in the representation (1) of } g, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

If there are  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $x_i = x_j$  ( $y_i = y_j$ ), we regard that these elements  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  ( $y_i$  and  $y_j$ ) are different elements in  $A(g)$  ( $B(g)$ ), respectively. Since  $g \in A_{2n}(X)$ , we can see that  $|A(g)| = |B(g)|$  and  $|A(g)| + |B(g)| \leq 2n$ . Thus, we put  $A(g) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l\}$  for some  $l \leq n$ , and take  $a_i \in A(g)$ . Then there is a  $k(i, 1) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $a_i = x_{k(i, 1)}$ . If  $y_{k(i, 1)} \in B(g)$ , we put  $b_{\varphi(i)} = y_{k(i, 1)}$ . Otherwise,  $y_{k(i, 1)}$  is reduced in the representation (1) of  $g$ , so that there is a  $k(i, 2) \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $y_{k(i, 1)} = x_{k(i, 2)}$ , and clearly,  $k(i, 2) \neq k(i, 1)$ . If  $y_{k(i, 2)} \in B(g)$ , we put  $b_{\varphi(i)} = y_{k(i, 2)}$ . Otherwise, in the same way, take a  $k(i, 3) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $y_{k(i, 2)} = x_{k(i, 3)}$ , and  $k(i, 3) \notin \{k(i, 1), k(i, 2)\}$ . We continue this process till an element of  $B(g)$  appears and denote the element of  $B(g)$  by  $b_{\varphi(i)}$ . Clearly, the element  $b_{\varphi(i)}$  must be appeared. Furthermore, we carry out this work for every element of  $A(g)$ . Thus, we get a permutation  $\varphi$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  and sequences  $\{k(i, 1), k(i, 2), \dots, k(i, j(i))\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , such that

$$a_i = x_{(i, 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\varphi(i)} = y_{k(i, j(i))}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, l, \quad (2)$$

$$y_{k(i, j)} = x_{k(i, j+1)} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, j(i) - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, l, \quad (3)$$



and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{k_{(i,j)} : j = 1, 2, \dots, j(i), i = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \\ & \text{consists of distinct numbers of } \{1, 2, \dots, k\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus, from (2) and (3), we have for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ,

$$(a_i, b_{\varphi(i)}) \in V_{k(i,1)} \circ V_{k(i,2)} \circ \dots \circ V_{k(i,j(i))}.$$

Now, let  $k(i) = \min\{k(i, 1), k(i, 2), \dots, k(i, j(i))\}$ , then by (4), the sequence  $\{k(1), k(2), \dots, k(l)\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  consisting of distinct elements. Thus, by Lemma 2.1(1) and the definition of  $P_1$ ,

$$(a_i, b_{\varphi(i)}) \in V_{k(i)-1} \subset U_{k(i)} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

On the other hand, since  $\varphi$  is a permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ ,

$$g = a_1 - b_{\varphi(1)} + a_2 - b_{\varphi(2)} + \dots + a_l - b_{\varphi(l)}.$$

Consequently, since  $\{U_{k(1)}, U_{k(2)}, \dots, U_{k(l)}\} \in \mathcal{R}_n(P)$ , by Remark 2.2, we have  $g \in W_n(P)$ , so that  $W(P_1) \cap A_{2n}(X) \subset W_n(P)$ .  $\square$

For a space  $X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a mapping  $j_n$  from  $X^{2n} (= X^n \times X^n)$  to  $A_{2n}(X)$  as follows

$$j_n((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n - (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)$$

for each  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$ . Theorem 2.4 gives a following important result, which is often used to prove our main theorems.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $E$  be a subset of  $A_{2n}(X)$ . Then,  $0 \in \bar{E}$  if and only if  $j_n^{-1}(E) \cap U^n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , where  $U^n = \{((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \in X^{2n} : (x_i, y_i) \in U, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$  and put  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $U_i = U$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $W_n(P)$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$ , we can take a  $g \in W_n(P) \cap E$ . Then, we have

$$g = x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \dots + x_n - y_n,$$

where  $(x_i, y_i) \in U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus, for  $x = ((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n))$ , it is clear that  $x \in j_n^{-1}(E) \cap U^n$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $P = \{U_1, U_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}$ , and take  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $U \subset U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n$ . By the assumption, we can take  $x = ((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \in j_n^{-1}(E) \cap U^n$ . Since,  $(x_i, y_i) \in U \subset U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $j_n(x) \in W_n(P) \cap E$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.4,  $0 \in \bar{E}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact space and  $E$  a subset of  $A_2(X)$ . Then,  $0 \in \bar{E}$  if and only if  $\overline{j_1^{-1}(E)} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is paracompact, every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Delta_X$  in  $X^2$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_X$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.5, it is obvious.  $\square$

### 3. Test spaces

In this section, we introduce three spaces  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  which have the following properties:

- (1)  $A_n(M_1)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (2)  $A_3(M_2)$  is not a  $k$ -space, and
- (3)  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

The constructions of these spaces are simple, but they play essential roles in the proof of the main results in this paper.

**Constructions.** Let  $M_1$  be a metrizable space such that  $M_1 = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  such that

- (1)  $X_i$  is an infinite discrete open subspace of  $M_1$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- (2)  $X_0$  is a compact subspace of  $M_1$  and  $\{V_k = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} X_i : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a neighborhood base of  $X_0$  in  $X$ , i.e., for each open set  $U$  in  $X$  which contains  $X_0$ , there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_0 \subset V_k \subset U$ .

In the above definition, if each  $X_i$  consists of countably many elements and  $X_0$  is a one-point set, we denote the space by  $M'_1$ . We put  $C = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  with the subspace topology of  $I$ . Let  $M_2 = \bigoplus \{C_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \oplus M'_1$ , where  $C_i$  is a copy of  $C$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $M_3 = \bigoplus \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ , where  $C_\alpha$  is a copy of  $C$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

**Remark 3.1.** The concrete example of  $M_1$  is the hedgehog space  $J(\kappa)$  of spininess  $\kappa$  such that each spininess is a sequence which converges to the center point. In [18] we proved that  $A_n(J(\kappa))$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, it was proved in [3] that  $A(J(\kappa))$  is not a  $k$ -space if  $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ . Furthermore, by [18], we know that  $A_4(M_2)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

**Theorem 3.2.**  $A_n(M_1)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $E \subset A_n(M_1)$  such that  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_n(M_1)$ , if  $0 \in \bar{E}$  then  $0 \in E$ . (\*)

For, if  $A_n(M_1)$  is not a  $k$ -space for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a subset  $H$  of  $A_n(M_1)$  such that  $H \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_n(M_1)$  and  $\bar{H} \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ . Take a point  $g \in \bar{H} \setminus H$ , and let  $E = H - g$ . Then, it can be seen that  $E$  is a subset of  $A_{2n}(M_1)$  such that  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_{2n}(M_1)$  and  $0 \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ .

Thus, let prove the property (\*). Take an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and fix it (we can assume that  $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $E$  be a subset of  $A_n(M_1)$  such that  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each

compact subset  $K$  of  $A_n(M_1)$ , and assume that  $0 \in \bar{E}$ . Since  $A_0$  (see Section 1) is an open neighborhood of 0 in  $A(M_1)$ ,  $0 \in \overline{E \cap A_0}$ . Furthermore, note that

$$E \cap A_0 = \bigcup \left\{ E \cap (A_{2m}(M_1) \setminus A_{2m-1}(M_1)) : m \leq \frac{n}{2}, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

then there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \leq n/2$  such that

$$0 \in \overline{E \cap (A_{2m}(M_1) \setminus A_{2m-1}(M_1))}.$$

And, we put  $D = E \cap (A_{2m}(M_1) \setminus A_{2m-1}(M_1))$ .

On the other hand, by the properties (1) and (2) of the definition of  $M_1$ , we can find a countable uniform base  $\mathcal{U}$  of the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_{M_1}$  of  $M_1$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_k = G_k \cup \Delta_{M_1} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_k$  is an open neighborhood of  $\Delta_{X_0}$  in  $M_1 \times M_1$  such that  $G_k \subset V_k \times V_k$ . Now, apply Corollary 2.5, then we have

$$j_m^{-1}(D) \cap (U_k)^m \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us take a point  $x_k \in j_m^{-1}(D) \cap (U_k)^m$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $g_k = j_m(x_k) \in A_{2m}(M_1) \setminus A_{2m-1}(M_1)$ ,  $x_k \in (G_k)^m$ , and  $\text{car } g_k \subset V_k$ . It follows that  $K = \bigcup \{\text{car } g_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup X_0$  is a compact subset of  $M_1$ , and by Lemma 1.1(3) and (4),  $A_n(K)$  is a compact subset of  $A_n(M_1)$ . Hence we have  $E \cap A_n(K)$  is closed in  $A_n(K)$ . Since  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset j_m^{-1}(D)$ ,

$$\{g_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D \cap A_n(K) \subset E \cap A_n(K).$$

On the other hand,

$$\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap (U_k)^m \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, by Corollary 2.5,  $0 \in \overline{\{g_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ . Thus we have

$$0 \in \overline{E \cap A_n(K)} = E \cap A_n(K) \subset E.$$

Consequently,  $A_n(M_1)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.**  $A_n(J(\kappa))$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $A_3(M_2)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

**Proof.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we put  $X_n = \{x_{n,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $C_n = \{c_{n,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{c_n\}$ , and  $X_0 = \{x\}$ . For each  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$g_{n,j} = c_n - c_{n,j} + x_{n,j}, \quad \text{and} \quad E = \{g_{n,j} : n, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We shall prove that

- (1)  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  in  $A_3(M_2)$ , and
- (2)  $x \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ .

Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $A_3(M_2)$ , in fact of  $A(M_2)$ . Then, by Theorem 1.2,  $\text{car } K$  is bounded in  $M_2$ . Hence, there are finite subsets  $F_1$  and  $F_{2(n)}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\text{car } K \subset \bigcup \{C_n : n \in F_1\} \cup \bigcup \{x_{n,i} : i \in F_{2(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}.$$

Thus, by the definition of  $E$ ,

$$\text{car}(K \cap E) \subset \bigcup \{\text{car } g_{n,i} : n \in F_1 \text{ and } i \in F_{2(n)}\}.$$

Hence,  $K \cap E$  is finite and thereby it is closed in  $K$ .

Next, we shall prove (2). Since  $x \notin E$ , we shall show that  $x \in \bar{E}$ . Since  $A_3(M_2)$  is closed in  $A(M_2)$ , it suffices to show that  $x \in \bar{E}^{A(M_2)}$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $A(M_2)$ . Then we can choose an open neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $A(M_2)$  such that  $W + W + x \subset U$ . Since  $W + x$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $A(M_2)$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{x_{n,i} : n \geq N, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset W + x$ . And, for each  $n \geq N$ , there is an  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_n - c_{n,i_n} \in W$ . It follows that

$$\{g_{n,i_n} : n \geq N\} \subset W + W + x \subset U.$$

Thus  $x \in \bar{E}$ .  $\square$

To prove that  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space, we need the following technical lemma which was obtained in [9].

**Lemma 3.5.** *There is a collection  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that*

- (1)  $E_\alpha \cap E_\beta$  is finite for each  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,
- (2) for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\max(E_\alpha \cap E_\beta) \neq \max(E_\alpha \cap E_\gamma)$  for each  $\beta, \gamma < \alpha$  with  $\beta \neq \gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.6.**  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\beta : \beta < \omega_1\}$  are uncountable subcollections of  $\mathcal{E}$  defined in Lemma 3.5 such that  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$ . Then it can be proved that

(3) there are no infinite subsets  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_\alpha \setminus A$  is finite and  $B_\alpha \cap A$  is finite for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

We put  $C_\alpha = \{x_{\alpha,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x_\alpha\}$ , where  $x_\alpha$  is the limit point of  $\{x_{\alpha,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Let, for each  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ ,

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} = \{x_{\alpha,n} - x_\alpha + x_{\beta,n} - x_\beta : n \in A_\alpha \cap B_\beta\},$$

and

$$E = \bigcup \{A_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha, \beta < \omega_1\}.$$

We shall show that

- (4)  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_4(M_3)$ , and
- (5)  $0 \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ .

Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $A_4(M_3)$ , then  $\text{car } K$  is bounded in  $M_3$  by Theorem 1.2. We can take a finite subset  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $\text{car } K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_{\alpha_i}$ , so that  $E \cap K \subset \bigcup \{A_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . On the other hand, by property (1) of Lemma 3.5, each  $A_{\alpha, \beta}$  is finite. It follows that  $E \cap K$  is finite, and (4) is proved.

Before we show (5), we shall define a uniform base  $\mathcal{U}$  of the universal uniformity on  $M_3$ , as follows. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $V_{\alpha, k} = \{x_{\alpha, m} : m \geq k\} \cup \{x_\alpha\}$ , and we put

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{U_{\alpha, k} = (V_{\alpha, k} \times V_{\alpha, k}) \cup \Delta_\alpha : k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where  $\Delta_\alpha$  is the diagonal of  $C_\alpha \times C_\alpha$ . For each  $M = \{n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha < \omega_1\} \in \mathbb{N}^{\omega_1}$ , let

$$U(M) = \bigcup \{U_{\alpha, n_\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U} = \{U(M) : M \in \mathbb{N}^{\omega_1}\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a uniform base of the universal uniformity on  $M_3$ . To show that  $0 \in \bar{E}$ , by Corollary 2.5, it suffices to show that

$$j_2^{-1}(E) \cap U^2 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Take an  $M = \{n_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \in \mathbb{N}^{\omega_1}$ , and for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let

$$A'_\alpha = \{n \in A_\alpha : n \geq n_\alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad B'_\alpha = \{n \in B_\alpha : n \geq n_\alpha\}.$$

Clearly each  $A'_\alpha$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $A'_\alpha \cap B'_\beta = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ . Then  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A'_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} B'_\beta = \emptyset$ . For the infinite set  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A_\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we can prove that

$$A \cap B_\beta \subset \{N \in \mathbb{N} : n < n_\beta\} \quad \text{for each } \beta < \omega_1.$$

Hence  $A \cap B_\beta$  is finite for each  $\beta < \omega_1$ , and also

$$A_\alpha \setminus A \subset A_\alpha \setminus A'_\alpha = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n < n_\alpha\}.$$

Thereby  $A_\alpha \setminus A$  is finite for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . This contradicts the property (3). Thus, there are  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$  such that  $A'_\alpha \cap B'_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Take an  $n \in A'_\alpha \cap B'_\beta$ , then

$$(x_{\alpha, n}, x_\alpha) \in V_{\alpha, n_\alpha} \times V_{\alpha, n_\alpha} \subset U(M),$$

and

$$(x_{\beta, n}, x_\beta) \in V_{\beta, n_\beta} \times V_{\beta, n_\beta} \subset U(M).$$

It follows that

$$x = ((x_{\alpha, n}, x_{\beta, n}), (x_\alpha, x_\beta)) \in U(M) \times U(M).$$

Since  $j_2(x) = x_{\alpha, n} - x_\alpha + x_{\beta, n} - x_\beta \in E$ ,

$$x \in j_2^{-1}(E) \cap U(M)^2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Consequently,  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** The essential idea of the proof of (5) is used by Malyhin to prove that the tightness of the product  $V(\aleph_1) \times V(\aleph_1)$  is  $\omega_1$ . The proof was cited with his permission in [3]. Therefore,  $V(\aleph_1) \times V(\aleph_1)$  is not a  $k$ -space. Since  $X^2$  is closed embedded in  $A_3(X)$  for each space  $X$  (cf. [14]),  $A_3(V(\aleph_1))$  is not a  $k$ -space. In fact, in Section 4, we shall show that  $A_2(V(\aleph_1))$  is not a  $k$ -space.

#### 4. Main theorems

In this section, we shall give characterizations of a metrizable space  $X$  such that every  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, and  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, respectively. First, we introduce the result for the mapping  $i_n$ , which was pointed out in [2]. Recall that a space  $X$  is *Dieudonné complete* if there is a complete uniformity on the space  $X$  [4]. Every paracompact space is Dieudonné complete, and the closure of every bounded subset of a Dieudonné complete space is compact.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Dieudonné complete space. Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the mapping  $i_n$  is quotient if  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.*

Its proof can be easily obtained from the following well-known facts, and therefore we omit the proof.

- (1) *For each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_n(X)$ , there is a compact subset  $C$  of  $(X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^n$  such that  $i_n^{-1}(K) \subset C$  if  $X$  is a Dieudonné complete space [3].*
- (2) *A continuous mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of a topological space to a  $k$ -space  $Y$  is quotient if and only if for every compact subset  $Z \subset Y$  the restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(Z)}: f^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Z$  is quotient [9, Theorem 3.3.22].*

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $X$  is a metrizable space, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (b)  $A_4(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
- (c)  $i_n$  is a quotient mapping for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (d)  $i_4$  is a quotient mapping,
- (e) *either  $X$  is locally compact and the set  $X'$  of all nonisolated points of  $X$  is separable, or  $X'$  is compact.*

**Proof.** The implications (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) are clear, and by Proposition 4.1, (a) and (c), (b) and (d) are equivalent. Thus, it suffices to show the implications (b) $\Rightarrow$ (e) and (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (e) Assuming the contrary, we can consider the following two cases.

Case 1:  $X$  is not locally compact and  $X'$  is not compact.

Case 2:  $X'$  is not separable.

In Case 1, we can take an infinite discrete sequence  $\{c_n \in X': n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $X$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $c_n \in X'$ , there is a convergent sequence  $\{c_{n,i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $X$  which

converges to  $c_n$ . Furthermore, since  $X$  is not locally compact, we can find a point  $x \in X$  and  $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable neighborhood base of  $x$  in  $X$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_n \supset B_{n+1}$  and  $B_n \setminus B_{n+1}$  contains an infinite discrete (in  $X$ ) sequence  $X_n = \{x_{n,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the collection  $\{B_1\} \cup \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is discrete in  $X$ , where  $C_n = \{c_{n,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{c_n\}$ . It follows that  $Y = \bigcup \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \bigcup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Thus  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $M_2$ , and therefore, by Theorem 3.4,  $A_3(M_2)$  is not a  $k$ -space. Hence  $A_3(Y)$  is not a  $k$ -space. Since, by Lemma 1.1(4),  $A_3(Y)$  is embedded into  $A_3(X)$  as a closed subspace,  $A_3(X)$  is not a  $k$ -space. Thus,  $A_4(X)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

In Case 2, since  $X'$  has an uncountable discrete collection in  $X$ , we can take a closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  which is homeomorphic to  $M_3$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.6 and the same way as Case 1, we can prove that  $A_4(X)$  is not a  $k$ -space.

(e) $\Rightarrow$ (a) By Theorem A, if  $X$  is locally compact and  $X'$  is separable,  $A(X)$  is a  $k$ -space. Since each  $A_n(X)$  is closed in  $A(X)$ ,  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Next, suppose that  $X'$  is compact and  $X$  is not compact because  $A(Y)$  of a compact space  $Y$  is a  $k$ -space. Then the space  $X$  is a space of type  $M_1$ . It follows, by Theorem 3.2, that  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Consequently, we have the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** (Stability theorem). *For a metrizable space  $X$ , every  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $A_4(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.*

Case 1 of the proof of the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (e) in Theorem 4.2 yields the following (cf. [5]).

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the space of rationals and  $\mathbb{P}$  the space of irrationals. Then, neither  $A_3(\mathbb{Q})$  nor  $A_3(\mathbb{P})$  is a  $k$ -space.*

The following lemma can be proved from General assertion 1 in [1] or Fundamental Lemma in [8], and we omit the proof.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For a space  $X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the restriction*

$$i_n | i_n^{-1}(A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X)) : i_n^{-1}(A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X)) \rightarrow A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X)$$

*of  $i_n$  is an open and closed  $n!$ -to-1 mapping.*

The following theorem can be proved from the result in [13]. Here, we shall give another proof.

**Theorem 4.6.** *For a paracompact space  $X$ ,  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $X^2$  is a  $k$ -space.*

**Proof.** First, we show the “only if” part. Since  $X^2$  is a clopen subset of  $(X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^2$  and  $X^2 \subset i_2^{-1}(A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X))$ , by Lemma 4.5,  $i_2(X^2)$  is a clopen subset of more,

$A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . In particular,  $i_2(X^2)$  is open in  $A_2(X)$ . Since  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,  $i_2(X^2)$  is a  $k$ -space. On the other hand, since  $i_2^{-1}(i_2(X^2)) = X^2$ , by Lemma 4.5, the mapping  $i_2|_{X^2}: X^2 \rightarrow i_2(X^2)$  is a perfect mapping. Thus,  $X^2$  is a  $k$ -space.

Next, we show the “if” part. Let  $E$  be a subset of  $A_2(X)$  such that  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_2(X)$ , and take a word  $g \in \bar{E}$ . We shall show that  $g \in E$ . The proof is in three cases.

*Case 1:*  $g \in A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ .

Since  $A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$  is open in  $A_2(X)$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $g$  in  $A_2(X)$  such that  $\bar{U} \subset A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . We put  $H = E \cap \bar{U}$  then we have that  $g \in \bar{H}$  and  $H \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset of  $\bar{U}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.5,  $i_2|_{i_2^{-1}(U)}: i_2^{-1}(\bar{U}) \rightarrow \bar{U}$  is an open mapping, and  $i_2^{-1}(\bar{U})$  is a closed subset of the  $k$ -space  $X^2$ . It follows that  $\bar{U}$  is a  $k$ -space. Therefore,  $H$  is closed in  $\bar{U}$ , and hence in  $A_2(X)$ . Consequently,  $g \in H \subset E$ .

*Case 2:*  $g \in X \oplus -X$ .

Recall that  $A_0$  is a clopen subgroup of  $A(X)$ , then  $g + A_0$  is a clopen neighborhood of  $g$  in  $A(X)$ . Note that  $(g + A_0) \cap ((A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)) \cup \{0\}) = \emptyset$ . We put  $H = (g + A_0) \cap E$ , then it is easy to see that  $g \in \bar{H} \subset X \oplus -X$  and  $H \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X \oplus -X$ . Since  $X \oplus -X$  is a  $k$ -space,  $H$  is closed in  $X \oplus -X$ . Hence  $g \in H \subset E$ .

*Case 3:*  $g = 0$ .

Assume that  $0 \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ . Set  $H = E \cap A_0$ , then we can see that  $0 \in \bar{H} \setminus H$  and  $H \subset A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . Moreover  $\bar{j_1^{-1}(H)} \subset X^2 \setminus \Delta_X$  and by Corollary 2.6,  $\bar{j_1^{-1}(H)} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ . Take a point  $x = (x, x) \in \bar{j_1^{-1}(H)} \cap \Delta_X$ . Let  $C$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $X^2$ . Then  $j_1(C)$  is a compact subset of  $A_2(X)$ . By the assumption,  $H \cap j_1(C)$  is closed in  $j_1(C)$ , so in  $A_2(X)$ . Thus,  $j_1^{-1}(H \cap j_1(C))$  is closed in  $X^2$ . Since  $j_1|_{j_1^{-1}((A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)) \cap A_0)}: X^2 \setminus \Delta_X \rightarrow j_1^{-1}((A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)) \cap A_0)$  is one-to-one and onto, and  $H \cap j_1(C) \subset (A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)) \cap A_0$ , we can see that  $j_1^{-1}(H \cap j_1(C)) = j_1^{-1}(H) \cap C$ . Thus  $j_1^{-1}(H) \cap C$  is closed in  $X^2$ , so in  $C$ . It follows that  $\bar{j_1^{-1}(H)}$  is closed in  $X^2$  because  $X^2$  is a  $k$ -space. This contradicts that  $x \in \bar{j_1^{-1}(H)} \setminus j_1^{-1}(H)$ . Consequently, we have  $0 \in E$ .  $\square$

Remark 3.7 and Theorem 4.6 yield

**Corollary 4.7.**  $A_2(V(\mathbb{N}_1))$  is not a  $k$ -space.

**Corollary 4.8.** For a metrizable space  $X$ ,  $A_2(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, and the mapping  $i_2$  is quotient.

**Theorem 4.9.** If  $X$  is a metrizable space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space,
- (b) the mapping  $i_3$  is quotient,
- (c)  $X$  is locally compact or the set  $X'$  of all nonisolated points in  $X$  is compact.

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.1, (a) and (b) are equivalent. In the proof of the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) in Theorem 4.2, it was already shown the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Further-



more, by the proof of the implication (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in Theorem 4.2,  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if  $X'$  is compact. Thus, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if  $X$  is locally compact.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact metrizable space. Then  $X$  can be represented as the sum of locally compact separable spaces, i.e.,  $X = \bigoplus \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ , where each  $X_\alpha$  is locally compact separable. For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  be the universal uniformity on  $X_\alpha$  and  $\Delta_\alpha$  the diagonal of  $X_\alpha \times X_\alpha$ . Then,  $\mathcal{U} = \{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha : U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$  is the universal uniformity on  $X$ . To prove that  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, take a subset  $E$  of  $A_3(X)$  such that  $E \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_3(X)$ , and an arbitrary point  $g \in \bar{E}$ . We shall show that  $g \in E$ . If  $g \in (A_3(X) \setminus A_2(X)) \cup (A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)) \cup \{0\}$ , it can be shown that  $g \in E$  in the similar way to the proof of Theorem 4.6. Thus we may assume that  $g \in X \oplus -X$ , in particular,  $g \in X$  (if  $g \in -X$ , we can show similarly).

We put  $H = (E - g) \cap A_0$ , then  $H$  is a subset of  $A_4(X)$  such that  $H \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_4(X)$  and  $0 \in \bar{H}$ . If  $0 \in \overline{H \cap A_2(X)}$ , then it can be shown that  $0 \in H \cap A_2(X)$  and  $g \in H$  from the proof of Theorem 4.6. Thus, we may assume that  $H \subset (A_4(X) \setminus A_3(X)) \cup \{0\}$  because  $H \cap (A_3(X) \setminus A_2(X)) = \emptyset$ . By the definition of  $H$ , we put

$$H = \{h_\lambda = x_\lambda - y_\lambda + z_\lambda - g : \lambda \in A\},$$

where  $x_\lambda, y_\lambda, z_\lambda \in X$  for each  $\lambda \in A$ . Since  $g \in X$ , there is an  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $g \in X_{\alpha_0}$ . Let

$$H_1 = \{h_\lambda \in H : x_\lambda \in X_{\alpha_0} \text{ or } z_\lambda \in X_{\alpha_0}\}.$$

Hence it is easy to see that  $0 \notin \overline{H \setminus H_1}$  because  $j_2^{-1}(H \setminus H_1) \cap U^2 = \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus we have  $0 \in \overline{H_1}$ . Now, we assume that  $0 \in \overline{H_2}$ , where

$$H_2 = \{h_\lambda \in H_1 : x_\lambda, z_\lambda \in X_{\alpha_0}\}.$$

Then  $j_2^{-1}(H_2) \cap U^2 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $j_2^{-1}(H_3) \cap U^2 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , where

$$H_3 = \{h_\lambda \in H_2 : y_\lambda \in X_{\alpha_0}\}.$$

It follows that  $0 \in \overline{H_3}$ . On the other hand,  $H_3$  is a subset of  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0})$  and  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0})$  can be considered as a closed subset of  $A_4(X)$  by Lemma 1.1(4). Since  $X_{\alpha_0}$  is locally compact separable, by Theorem 4.2,  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0})$  is a  $k$ -space. Furthermore,  $H_3 \subset H \cap A_4(X_{\alpha_0})$  and  $H \cap A_4(X_{\alpha_0}) \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0})$ . We can easily see that  $0 \in H \cap A_4(X_{\alpha_0}) \subset H$ . Therefore it suffices to show that  $0 \in H$  if  $0 \in \overline{H_1 \setminus H_2}$ . Let

$$H_4 = \{h_\lambda : x_\lambda \notin X_{\alpha_0} \text{ and } z_\lambda \in X_{\alpha_0}\},$$

and we may assume that  $0 \in \overline{H_4}$ . Now, we put

$$A' = \{\lambda \in A : h_\lambda \in H_4\},$$

$$L = \{(z_\lambda, g) : \lambda \in A'\},$$

and

$$M = \{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) : \lambda \in A'\}.$$

Since  $j_2^{-1}(H_4) \cap U^2 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

- (1)  $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and
- (2)  $M \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Since  $L \subset X_{\alpha_0}^2$ , by (1),  $\bar{L} \cap \Delta_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$  and, in particular, we can see that  $(g, g) \in \bar{L}$ . Therefore  $g \in \{z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{B}_g = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable neighborhood base of  $g$  in  $X_{\alpha_0}$  such that  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$  and  $B_1 = X_{\alpha_0}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$M_n = \{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) : z_\lambda \in B_n \setminus B_{n+1}\}.$$

Then  $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$ . On the other hand, by (2),  $\bar{M} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ . We consider the following two cases.

*Case 1: There is a subsequence  $\{k_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{M_{k_m}} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can take an  $\alpha_m \in A$  such that  $\overline{M_{k_m}} \cap \Delta_{\alpha_m} \neq \emptyset$ . We put  $N_{\alpha_m} = M_{k_m} \cap X_{\alpha_m}^2$ , then  $\overline{N_{\alpha_m}} \cap \Delta_{\alpha_m} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $j_2^{-1}(H_5) \cap \Delta_Y \neq \emptyset$ , so that  $0 \in \overline{H_5}$ , where

$$H_5 = \left\{ h_\lambda \in H_4 : z_\lambda \in B_{k_1} \text{ and } (x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} N_{\alpha_m} \right\}$$

and

$$Y = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} X_{\alpha_m}.$$

Since  $Y$  is a locally compact separable metrizable closed subspace of  $X$ ,  $A_4(Y)$  is closed in  $A_4(X)$  and, by Theorem 4.2,  $A_4(Y)$  is a  $k$ -space. Furthermore,  $H_5 \subset H \cap A_4(Y)$  and  $H \cap A_4(Y) \cap K$  is closed in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $A_4(Y)$ . It follows that  $0 \in H \cap A_4(Y) \subset H$ .

*Case 2: There is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{M_m} \cap \Delta_X = \emptyset$  for each  $m \geq n$ .*

Let

$$H_6 = \{h_\lambda : z_\lambda \in B_n\}$$

and

$$M(n) = \bigcup_{m \geq n} M_m.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $0 \in \overline{H_6}$ , so that  $\overline{M(n)} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, we can take an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\overline{M(n)} \cap \Delta_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Now, we can assume that  $N_m = M_m \cap X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for each  $m \geq n$ . Hence, it can be seen that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ ,  $\{m \geq n : N_m \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is an infinite set because  $\overline{N_m} \cap \Delta_\alpha = \emptyset$  for each  $m \geq n$  and  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} N_m \cap \Delta_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $0 \in \overline{H_7}$ , where

$$H_7 = \left\{ h_\lambda \in H_6 : (x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \in \bigcup_{m \geq n} N_m \right\}.$$

On the other hand,  $H_7 \subset A_4(X_{\alpha_0} \oplus X_\alpha)$ ,  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0} \oplus X_\alpha)$  is closed in  $A_4(X)$  and  $A_4(X_{\alpha_0} \oplus X_\alpha)$  is a  $k$ -space. Therefore, in the same argument as in Case 1, we can see that  $0 \in H \cap A_4(X_{\alpha_0} \oplus X_\alpha) \subset H$ .

Consequently, in any case, we can prove that  $0 \in H$ , so that  $g \in E$ . Thus,  $E$  is a closed subset of  $A_3(X)$ . It follows that  $A_3(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $M_3$  be the space constructed in Section 3, then  $A_3(M_3)$  is a  $k$ -space but  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space.*

## 5. Applications

Finally, we discuss about the questions which are asked by Fay, Ordman and Thomas in [5]. Since they discussed about the free topological group  $F_G(X)$  over a space in the sense of Graev, we introduce some notations. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote the subspace of  $F_G(X)$  consisting of words of length not exceeding  $n$  by  $F_G(X)_n$ . Since the unit element  $e$  of  $F_G(X)$  is a point of  $X$ , the mapping  $i_n$  is defined on  $X \cup X^{-1}$ . Analogously, let  $A_G(X)$  be the free Abelian topological group over a space  $X$  in the sense of Graev, and the subspace  $A_G(X)_n$  and the mapping  $i_n$  can be defined similarly to these for  $F_G(X)$ . Fay, Ordman and Thomas [5] asked the following questions.

**Question 5.1.** Is  $i_n$  always a quotient map if  $X$  is locally compact?

**Question 5.2.** Is  $i_2: (Q \cup Q^{-1})^2 \rightarrow F_G(Q)_2$  a quotient map? Is  $i_2$  always a quotient map?

Pestov [13] answered these questions as follows.

**Theorem 5.3.** (1) *The mapping  $i_2: (X \oplus X^{-1} \oplus \{e\})^n \rightarrow F_n(X) ((X \cup X^{-1})^n \rightarrow F_G(X)_n)$  is quotient in either sense if and only if each neighborhood of  $\Delta_X$  in  $X^2$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_X$ . In particular, if  $X$  is paracompact,  $i_2$  is quotient. Hence, the first part of Question 5.2 is yes.*

(2) *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space which is not paracompact, then  $i_2$  is not quotient by (1). Hence, Question 5.1 is answered by no.*

On the other hand, about the space  $M_3$  described in Section 3, we note the following fact, that implies the negative answer of the Abelian version of Question 5.1 even if a space  $X$  is locally compact and metrizable.

**Theorem 5.4.** *The space  $M_3$  is a locally compact metrizable space such that  $A_4(M_3)$  is not a  $k$ -space and  $A_n(M_3)$  is homeomorphic to  $A_G(M_3)_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, the mapping  $i_n$  is not quotient in either sense.*

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $A_n(M_3)$  is homeomorphic to  $A_G(M_3)_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $M_3$  has infinite many isolated points, for each isolated point  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $M_3$  is homeomorphic to  $M_3 \setminus \{x\} = M'_3$ . On the other hand, from the argument in [6],  $A_G(M_3)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $A(M'_3)$ , respectively. In fact, the topological isomorphism is the continuous homomorphic extension of the identity on  $M_3$ , so that we can prove that  $A_G(M_3)_n$  is homeomorphic to  $A_n(M'_3)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, it follows that  $A_n(M_3)$  is homeomorphic to  $A_G(M_3)_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Professor A. Koyama and the referee for carefully reading preliminary versions of this paper. They provided many valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skii, Mapping related to topological groups, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 9 (1968) 1011–1015.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, Algebraic objects generated by topological structure, *J. Soviet Math.* 45 (1989) 956–978.
- [3] A.V. Arhangel'skii, O.G. Okunev and V.G. Pestov, Free topological groups over metrizable spaces, *Topology Appl.* 33 (1989) 63–76.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (Heldermann, Berlin, 1989).
- [5] T.H. Fay, E.T. Ordman and B.V.S. Thomas, The free topological groups over rationals, *Gen. Topology Appl.* 10 (1979) 33–47.
- [6] M.I. Graev, Free topological groups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 12 (1948) 279–324 (in Russian); *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 35 (1951) (in English); Reprint: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 8 (1962) 305–364.
- [7] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I* (Academic Press, New York, 1963).
- [8] C. Joiner, Free topological groups and dimension, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 220 (1976) 401–418.
- [9] N.N. Luzin, On sets of natural numbers, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 40 (5) (1943) 195–199.
- [10] J. Mack, S.A. Morris and E.T. Ordman, Free topological groups and the projective dimension of locally compact Abelian groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1973) 303–308.
- [11] A.A. Markov, On free topological groups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 9 (1945) 3–64 (in Russian); *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 30 (1950) 11–88 (in English); Reprint: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 8 (1962) 195–272.
- [12] E.C. Nummela, Uniform free topological groups and Samuel compactifications, *Topology Appl.* 13 (1982) 77–83.
- [13] V.G. Pestov, On neighbourhoods of unity in free topological groups, *Vestnik Moscov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* 3 (1985) 8–10 (in Russian).
- [14] B.V.S. Thomas, Free topological groups, *Gen. Topology Appl.* 4 (1974) 51–72.
- [15] M.G. Tkačenko, Completeness of free abelian topological groups, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 27 (1983) 341–345.
- [16] M.G. Tkačenko, On topologies on free groups, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 34 (1984) 541–551.
- [17] E.K. van Douwen, The integers and topology, in: K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds., *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984) 111–167.
- [18] K. Yamada, Free Abelian topological groups and  $k$ -spaces, Preprint.